Indirect Service/Vendor Contract Review Summary Report

Division:				Revie	w Date:
Reviewer(s):					
Provider Name:			Provider ID:		
Contract #:					
Review Location(s):					
Compliance Ratings: Y = Yes		; NA = ľ liance?		* Deficiency	Areas Needing Improvement/
Component (Yes / No/ NA)			Level?	Comments:	
Provider Qualifications				•	
Drovidor qualifications				Major	
Provider qualifications (license, experience, etc.)	Y	N	NA	Significant	
				Minor	
Performance Measures	_	1		I Maion	1
Does the Provider meet the				Major Significant	
deliverables required in the contract?	Y	N	NA	Minor	
Contracts					
Fiscal monitoring					
Billings from providers are				Major	
itemized in same categories as contracted	Υ	N	NA	Significant	
budget?				Minor	
Itemized billings are				Major	
consistent with contracted budget? (Note: requires	Y	N	NA	Significant	
review prior to fund	'	l IN	INA	Minor	
disbursement)					
Onsite reviews of "costs of				Major	
service" are in compliance	Y	N	NA	Significant	
with contracted budget?				Minor	
Federal Assurances and Sta	ndard Te	erms			
Annual self-certification					
signed? (Only required for	Y	N	NA		
multi-year contracts) The sample of standard				Major	
terms and/or Federal	Y	N	NA	Major Significant	
Assurances reviewed	ı	IN	INA	Minor	
indicates compliance?		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Revision Date: July 1, 2002 F.3 – 1 Vendor Contract Review

Component		Compliance? * (Yes / No/ NA)			Areas Needing Improvement/ Comments:				
Additional Requirements	Major Deliv	erables	i						
	Y	N	NA	Major Significant Minor					
	Y	N	NA	Major Significant Minor					
	Y	N	NA	Major Significant Minor					
	Y	N	NA	Major Significant Minor					
	Y	N	NA	Major Significant Minor					
	Y	N	NA	Major Significant Minor					
CONTRACT MONITOR	(Signatu	(Signature)			Date				
CONTRACT MONITOR	(Please	(Please Print)							
CONTRACT MONITOR	(Signatu	(Signature)			Date				
CONTRACT MONITOR	(Please Print)								

Revision Date: July 1, 2002 F.3 – 2 Vendor Contract Review